

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,967	03/21/2006	Andrew J. Hardwick	36-1962	4570
23117 7590 08/12/2011 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAM	IINER
			SADIO, INSA	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203		203	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/0
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/572,967
Review	INSA SADIO

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/572,9	967	HARDWICK, AN	IDREW J.
		Art Unit	
INSA SADIO		2629	

This is in response to	the Pre-Anneal Brief	Request for Review	filed 20 June 2011

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 20 June 2011.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal bi brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been be appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date tible.
☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from con	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: nsideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) INSA SADIO.	(3)
(2) <u>LunYi Lao</u> .	(4)
/INSA SADIO/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/LUN-YI LAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629